

ONLINE COURSE EVALUATIONS



Reports

Standard Reports



NURS 8407.DE: Grant Writing

Instructors: K. Acquaviva (primary), R. Halbgewachs

Survey for Term Summer 2013 (August 9 - August 17, 2013)

Participation: Primary Course Shortened Set

Results: 6 out of 9 eligible students evaluated this course.

About the course

1. Amount you learned in the course

[16]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Almost nothing [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	1	17%	17%
(5) A great deal [5]	5	83%	83%
Mean of student responses: **	4.8		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.4		

2. Overall, how would you rate your level of intellectual challenge in the course?

[117]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	

Unanswered:	0		0%	
(1) Not at all challenged [1]	0		0%	0%
(2) [2]	0		0%	0%
(3) [3]	0		0%	0%
(4) [4]	3		50%	50%
(5) Very challenged [5]	3		50%	50%
Mean of student responses: **		4.5		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.5		

3. Amount of effort / work required was:

[123]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6		100%
Unanswered:	0		0%
(1) Very little [1]	0		0%
(2) [2]	0		0%
(3) [3]	0		0%
(4) [4]	2		33%
(5) A great deal [5]	4		67%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0		--
Mean of student responses: **		4.7	
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.5	

4. Overall, how would you rate your level of engagement in the subject matter?

[118]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6		100%
Unanswered:	0		0%
(1) Not at all engaged [1]	0		0%
(2) [2]	0		0%
(3) [3]	0		0%
(4) [4]	2		33%
(5) Very engaged [5]	4		67%
Mean of student responses: **		4.7	
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.5	

5. Increased conceptual understanding and/or critical thinking.

[129]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6		100%
Unanswered:	0		0%
(1) Not at all [1]	0		0%
(2) [2]	0		0%
(3) [3]	0		0%
(4) [4]	0		0%
(5) A great deal [5]	6		100%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0		--
Mean of student responses: **		5.0	
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.0	

6. Course content was organized in a manner that facilitated learning.

[122]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Not at all [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Completely [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

7. Number of hours per week outside of class typically spent doing readings, assignments, reviewing notes, writing papers, studying for exams

[15]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
Less than one hour or none	0	0%	--
1-2 hours	0	0%	--
3-4 hours	1	17%	--
5-6 hours	2	33%	--
7-8 hours	0	0%	--
9-10 hours	0	0%	--
More than 10 hours	3	50%	--

8. Overall rating of the course

[680]


	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Poor [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	1	17%	17%
(5) Excellent [5]	5	83%	83%
Mean of student responses: **	4.8		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.4		

About the instructor





9. Was knowledgeable about the subject and course material.

[132]



	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	

(1) Not at all knowledgeable [1]	0		0%	0%
(2) [2]	0		0%	0%
(3) [3]	0		0%	0%
(4) [4]	0		0%	0%
(5) Very knowledgeable [5]	6		100%	100%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0		0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0			
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0			



10. Accessibility outside of class. [134]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6		100%
Unanswered:	0		0%
(1) Not at all accessible [1]	0		0%
(2) [2]	0		0%
(3) [3]	0		0%
(4) [4]	1		17%
(5) Very accessible [5]	4		67%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	1		17%
Mean of student responses: **	4.8		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.4		

11. Enthusiasm for topic/subject. [133]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6		100%
Unanswered:	0		0%
(1) Not at all enthusiastic [1]	0		0%
(2) [2]	0		0%
(3) [3]	0		0%
(4) [4]	0		0%
(5) Very enthusiastic [5]	6		100%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0		0%
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

12. Designed and used fair grading procedures. [135]





	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6		100%
Unanswered:	0		0%
(1) Not at all fair [1]	0		0%
(2) [2]	0		0%
(3) [3]	0		0%
(4) [4]	0		0%
(5) Very fair [5]	6		100%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0		0%
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		

Standard deviation of student responses: ****

0.0



13. Provided adequate feedback on exams/papers/performance.

[136]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	5		83%
Unanswered:	1		17%
(1) Not adequate [1]	0		0%
(2) [2]	0		0%
(3) [3]	0		0%
(4) [4]	1		17%
(5) Very adequate [5]	4		67%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0		0%
Mean of student responses: **	4.8		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.4		

14. Overall rating of the instructor

[679]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6		100%
Unanswered:	0		0%
(1) Poor [1]	0		0%
(2) [2]	0		0%
(3) [3]	0		0%
(4) [4]	0		0%
(5) Excellent [5]	6		100%
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

15. Use this space for any comments you may have about the course, the instructor, readings, etc.

[681]



Student 3: Ronna: I thoroughly enjoyed this course. It was not my first choice, but the options for electives were limited. I am glad I did it. I learned a great deal and I think it is relevant precursor to the research courses that are up next. The instructor made the course exciting and was knowledgeable about the material.

Student 2: Kim is a fantastic instructor, and I loved the course.

Department-Specific Questions

16. Expected grade in the course

[2311]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6		100%
Unanswered:	0		0%
A	6		100%
B	0		0%
C	0		0%
D	0		0%
F	0		0%
Don't know	0		0%

17. Course expectations were clear.

[1765]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Strongly disagree [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Strongly agree [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable/No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

18. Course materials were relevant and current.

[1766]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Strongly disagree [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Strongly agree [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable/No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

19. Materials in Blackboard were organized and easily accessible.


[1767]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	5	83%	
Unanswered:	1	17%	
(1) Strongly disagree [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Strong agree [5]	5	83%	100%
Not applicable/No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

20. Feedback on assignments and examinations was received in a timely manner.




[1445]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Strong disagree [1]	0	0%	0%

(2) [2]	0		0%	0%
(3) [3]	0		0%	0%
(4) [4]	0		0%	0%
(5) Strongly agree [5]	6		100%	100%
Not applicable/ No opinion [x]	0		0%	--
Mean of student responses: **		5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.0		




21. The instructor encouraged discussions, questions, and the expression of ideas.

[1768]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6		100%
Unanswered:	0		0%
(1) Strongly disagree [1]	0		0%
(2) [2]	0		0%
(3) [3]	0		0%
(4) [4]	1		17%
(5) Strongly agree [5]	5		83%
Not applicable/No opinion [x]	0		--
Mean of student responses: **		4.8	
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.4	




22. The instructor encouraged the use of current resources.

[2312]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	5		83%
Unanswered:	1		17%
(1) Strongly disagree [1]	0		0%
(2) [2]	0		0%
(3) [3]	0		0%
(4) [4]	0		0%
(5) Strongly agree [5]	5		83%
Not applicable/No opinion	0		--
Mean of student responses: **		5.0	
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.0	

23. The instructor used effective instructional strategies.

[2313]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6		100%
Unanswered:	0		0%
(1) Strongly disagree [1]	0		0%
(2) [2]	0		0%
(3) [3]	0		0%
(4) [4]	1		17%
(5) Strongly agree [5]	5		83%
Not applicable/No opinion	0		--
Mean of student responses: **		4.8	
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.4	

24. Please describe the most positive aspect(s) of this course. What should stay the same? (If multiple instructors are responsible for implementing this course, identify the instructor by name when applicable). [2314]

Student 4: Dr. Acquaviva is absolutely exceptional.

Student 3: Ronna: The course content was relevant to the program and build on previous course work. The outline was clear and each section was clearly linked to the next, Encouragement and coaching was greatly appreciated

Student 2: The resources, and the guidance writing the grant

Student 1: Excellent format in Blackboard.

25. Which aspect(s) of the course need(s) the greatest improvement and what recommendations do you have for improvement? (If multiple instructors are responsible for implementing this course, identify the instructor by name when applicable). [2315]

Student 3: Ronna: I think the course could be completed in less time, especially during the summer.

Student 2: none

Student 1: None. Some students failed to post assignments that required peer review by deadlines which was frustrating and unfair to the other students in the class.

¹ - Valid Percent: Excludes missing, not applicable, and/or blank responses.

** - Mean of student responses: The mean is the arithmetic average of a set of scores found by summing the scores from each student and dividing that sum by the number of students who answered with a valid answer to this question.

*** - Mean of courses: The mean is the arithmetic average of a set of scores found by summing the mean from each course and dividing that sum by the number of courses that asked this question. This can be viewed as the mean of means of courses.

**** - Standard deviation of student responses: A measure of the variability or dispersion in a set of scores that provides an indication of the average amount by which the student scores deviate from the student mean of the distribution

***** - Standard deviation of courses: A measure of the variability or dispersion in a set of scores (mean of each course) that provides an indication of the average amount by which each of the course mean deviates from the mean of the distribution of all course means. This is the standard deviation that you should refer to if you are comparing one course to all the courses.

Student Statistics

Statistics for the 6 students who submitted this evaluation:									
Student Level	College	Major	Degree Status	Expected Graduation Date					
Graduate	100%	--	100%	Nursing Practice	83%	Doctor of Nursing	83%	05-2014	17%
				NursingLeadership&Management	17%	Practice		05-2018	50%
						M S in Nursing	17%	08-2017	17%
								08-2018	17%

THE GEORGE
WASHINGTON
UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON, DC