

STUDENT FEEDBACK COURSE ADMINISTRATION


Archived Reports
[Standard Reports](#) | [SmartEvals Reports](#)

NURS 8407.DE: Grant Writing

Instructor: K. Acquaviva



Survey for Term Summer 2014 (July 18 - July 27, 2014)

Participation: Primary Course Shortened Set

Results: 6 out of 7 eligible students evaluated this course.

About the course

1. Amount you learned in the course

[16]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Almost nothing [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	1	17%	17%
(5) A great deal [5]	5	83%	83%
Mean of student responses: **	4.8		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.4		

2. Overall, how would you rate your level of intellectual challenge in the course?

[117]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Not at all challenged [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	1	17%	17%
(5) Very challenged [5]	5	83%	83%
Mean of student responses: **	4.8		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.4		

3. Amount of effort / work required was:

[123]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Very little [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	2	33%	33%
(5) A great deal [5]	4	67%	67%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	4.7		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.5		

4. Overall, how would you rate your level of engagement in the subject matter?

[118]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Not at all engaged [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Very engaged [5]	6	100%	100%
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

5. Increased conceptual understanding and/or critical thinking.

[129]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Not at all [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%

(3) [3]	0		0%	0%
(4) [4]	1		17%	17%
(5) A great deal [5]	5		83%	83%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0		0%	--
Mean of student responses: **		4.8		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.4		

6. Course content was organized in a manner that facilitated learning. [122]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Not at all [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Completely [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **		5.0	
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.0	

7. Number of hours per week outside of class typically spent doing readings, assignments, reviewing notes, writing papers, studying for exams [15]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
Less than one hour or none	0	0%	--
1-2 hours	0	0%	--
3-4 hours	0	0%	--
5-6 hours	3	50%	--
7-8 hours	2	33%	--
9-10 hours	0	0%	--
More than 10 hours	1	17%	--

8. Overall rating of the course [680]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Poor [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Excellent [5]	6	100%	100%
Mean of student responses: **		5.0	
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.0	

About the instructor

9. Was knowledgeable about the subject and course material. [132]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Not at all knowledgeable [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Very knowledgeable [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **		5.0	
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.0	

10. Accessibility outside of class. [134]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Not at all accessible [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Very accessible [5]	5	83%	100%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	1	17%	--
Mean of student responses: **		5.0	
Standard deviation of student responses: ****		0.0	

11. Enthusiasm for topic/subject. [133]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Not at all enthusiastic [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%

(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Very enthusiastic [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

12. Designed and used fair grading procedures.

[135]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Not at all fair [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Very fair [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

13. Provided adequate feedback on exams/papers/performance.

[136]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Not adequate [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Very adequate [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable / No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

14. Overall rating of the instructor

[679]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Poor [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Excellent [5]	6	100%	100%
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

15. Use this space for any comments you may have about the course, the instructor, readings, etc.

[681]

Student 5: excellent!

Student 4: The thought of writing a grant is overwhelming yet a necessity as a doctoral prepared professional. This course teased the process apart to demonstrate the succinct steps to take. This made the entire semester very doable.

Student 1: Dr. Aquaviva was responsive, engaged and kept the course moving. Her blackboard graphics aided in understanding the course content. Excellent job.

Department-Specific Questions

16. Expected grade in the course

[2311]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
A	5	83%	--
B	0	0%	--
C	0	0%	--
D	0	0%	--
F	0	0%	--
Don't know	1	17%	--

17. Course expectations were clear.

[1765]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Strongly disagree [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Strongly agree [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable/No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

18. Course materials were relevant and current.

[1766]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹

Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Strongly disagree [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Strongly agree [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable/No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

19. Materials in Blackboard were organized and easily accessible. [1767]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Strongly disagree [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Strong agree [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable/No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

20. Feedback on assignments and examinations was received in a timely manner. [1445]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Strong disagree [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	1	17%	17%
(5) Strongly agree [5]	5	83%	83%
Not applicable/ No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	4.8		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.4		

21. The instructor encouraged discussions, questions, and the expression of ideas. [1768]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Strongly disagree [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Strongly agree [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable/No opinion [x]	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

22. The instructor encouraged the use of current resources. [2312]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Strongly disagree [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Strongly agree [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable/No opinion	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

23. The instructor used effective instructional strategies. [2313]

	Number Responses	Percent	
		All	Valid Percent ¹
Answered:	6	100%	
Unanswered:	0	0%	
(1) Strongly disagree [1]	0	0%	0%
(2) [2]	0	0%	0%
(3) [3]	0	0%	0%
(4) [4]	0	0%	0%
(5) Strongly agree [5]	6	100%	100%
Not applicable/No opinion	0	0%	--
Mean of student responses: **	5.0		
Standard deviation of student responses: ****	0.0		

24. Please describe the most positive aspect(s) of this course. What should stay the same? (If multiple instructors are responsible for implementing this course, identify the instructor by name when applicable). [2314]

Student 5: do not change the course - based upon the real grant process

Student 4: Dr. Acquaviva is an amazing professor! She is thoughtful, knowledgeable and willing to share her experience both good and not so good. She truly is an inspiring mentor. The interactive infographics were a great learning tool!

Student 3: The level of student support provided by the instructor--she was consistently available and an expert in the subject area. Blackboard was extremely organized and useful!

Student 2: The course was developed to encourage student active participation and learning through others.

Student 1: Graphics were excellent.

25. Which aspect(s) of the course need(s) the greatest improvement and what recommendations do you have for improvement? (If multiple instructors are responsible for implementing this course, identify the instructor by name when applicable). [2315]

Student 4: Keep the course roster small, this made the DB manageable and beneficial to learning.

Student 5: no changes needed

Student 3: None

¹ - Valid Percent: Excludes missing, not applicable, and/or blank responses.

** - Mean of student responses: The mean is the arithmetic average of a set of scores found by summing the scores from each student and dividing that sum by the number of students who answered with a valid answer to this question.

*** - Mean of courses: The mean is the arithmetic average of a set of scores found by summing the mean from each course and dividing that sum by the number of courses that asked this question. This can be viewed as the mean of means of courses.

**** - Standard deviation of student responses: A measure of the variability or dispersion in a set of scores that provides an indication of the average amount by which the student scores deviate from the student mean of the distribution

***** - Standard deviation of courses: A measure of the variability or dispersion in a set of scores (mean of each course) that provides an indication of the average amount by which each of the course mean deviates from the mean of the distribution of all course means. This is the standard deviation that you should refer to if you are comparing one course to all the courses.

Student Statistics

Statistics for the 6 students who submitted this evaluation:									
Student Level		College		Major		Degree Status		Expected Graduation Date	
Graduate	100%	--	100%	Nursing Education	17%	Doctor of Nursing	100%	05-2018	17%
				Nursing Practice	83%	Practice		05-2019	67%
								08-2019	17%

[Terms of Service](#) | [Site Help](#) | [Contact Us](#)

© 2018, The George Washington University

2121 Eye St, NW; Washington, DC 20052. Phone: (202) 994-GWGW (4949)

Site Maintained by [Division of IT](#)